

Proposal Title :	Hornsby LEP 2013 - Design ex	ccellence for tall buildings			
Proposal Summary The proposal is to add a local provision to Hornsby Local Environmental Plan 2013 requirindevelopments of 10 storeys or more to exhibit design excellence.					
PP Number :	PP_2014_HORNS_003_00	Dop File No	14/14903		
roposal Details			*		
Date Planning Proposal Received :	03-Sep-2014	LGA covered :	Hornsby		
Region :	Metro(Parra)	RPA :	The Council of the Shire of Horn		
State Electorate :	CASTLE HILL EPPING HAWKESBURY HORNSBY KU-RING-GAI	Section of the Act	55 - Planning Proposal		
LEP Type	Policy				
Location Details					
Street :					
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :		
Land Parcel : Th	ne proposal applies to all land cov	ered by Hornsby Local Env	ironmental Plan 2013.		
DoP Planning Off	icer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Shane Nugent				
Contact Number :	0298601173				
Contact Email :	shane.nugent@planning.nsw.gov.au				
RPA Contact Deta	ails				
Contact Name :	Katherine Vickery				
Contact Number :	0298476728				
Contact Email :	kvickery@hornsby.nsw.gov.au				
Contact Linair.		S			
DoP Project Mana	ager Contact Details				
	ager Contact Details Terry Doran		35		
DoP Project Mana	-		8		

## Hornsby LEP 2013 - Design excellence for tall buildings

and Release Data			
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots ;	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created	0
The NSW Government	Yes		
Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	5		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists?:	No		
If Yes, comment :			
supporting notes		£	
Internal Supporting Notes : 🕒	Note that the planning proposal v Environment on 1 September 201 Council and received on 3 Septer accordingly.	4. Additional information was	s sought from Hornsby Shire
External Supporting Notes :			
equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob Is a statement of the ob Comment :	jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The objective of the proposal		
vonment.	are designed to the highest st	andard of architecture and ur	ban design.
2	isions provided - s55(2)(b)	andard of architecture and ur	ban design.
2	isions provided - s55(2)(b)	andard of architecture and ur	ban design.
Explanation of prov	isions provided - s55(2)(b)	es that development consent or more in height, unless, in t	must not be granted to

## Strathfield, Sydney, The Hills, Warringah and Wyong

A draft local provision proposed to be added to Hornsby Local Environmental Plan 2013 is included in Part 2 of the planning proposal.

The draft clause in the planing proposal closely follows similar clauses in: The Hills, Sydney, Penrith, Parramatta, Liverpool, Gosford and Blacktown Local Environmental Plans i.e. the draft Hornsby clause adopts the same or similar wording. Clauses in the other 8 Local Environmental Plans (for the other Local Government Areas of: Botany Bay, Camden, Manly, Randwick, South Sydney, Strathfield, Warringah and Wyong) do not follow a common format/wording and do not include all the subclauses and intent in the Hornsby draft clause. Copies of relevant clauses for all 15 Local Government Areas are in 'Documents'.

A number of these clauses, but not all, include provisions requiring a design competition. The Hornsby proposal does not include provisions for a design competition.

The draft Hornsby clause strictly follows the provisions in The Hills, Sydney, Penrith, Parramatta Liverpool, Gosford and Blacktown Local Environmental Plans, with some exceptions:

- . In Blacktown and Sydney, the corresponding clauses do not require consideration of any relevant requirements of applicable development control plans, whereas this subclause is included in the Hornsby draft and the other Local Environmental Plans.
- . Similarly, Blacktown and Sydney do not require consideration to be given to pedestrian, cycle, vehicular and service access and circulation requirements, whereas this subclause is included in the Hornsby draft and the other Local Environmental Plans.
- . Further in the Blacktown plan, there is no requirement for consideration to be given to (1) the existing and proposed landuses and use mix, and; (2) any heritage issues and streetscape constraints. These subclauses are included in the Hornsby draft and the other relevant local environmental plans.
- . In addition, the Hornsby provision has introduced additional matters into the subclause (common to the other relevant local environmental plans) dealing with environmental impacts. These are: solar access, visual and acoustic privacy and noise.

In respect of items (4)(f)(xi) and (xii) (i.e. (xi) achieving appropriate interfaces at ground level between the building and the public domain and (xii) excellence and integration of landscape design) in the Hornsby draft, it is noted that these subclauses are not included in any of the relevant local environmental plans (above), with the exception of the relevant subclauses in the Sydney Local Environmental Plan.

#### Department's comments:

There is no departmental settled model clause for 'design excellence'. The most applicable is the relatively uniform clause within the Hills, Sydney, Penrith, Parramatta Liverpool, Gosford and Blacktown Local Environmental Plans.

Hornsby Council in preparing the planning proposal draft clause have, in the main, strictly followed the relevant wording in the above local environmental plans. The notable minor exceptions are highlighted above.

In making the above plans, the department has given its defacto endorsement for the form and text of the provision. Consequently, as Hornsby Council has not significantly deviated from the wording in the relevant made plans and sought to limit the application of the provision to the development of significant buildings, there are on objections to the planning proposal proceeding as proposed, provided the planning proposal is amended to clarify the geographical extent/number of made local environmental plans that include this

## provision.

	and the explanation least 32.5 metres i.e. proposal refers to de it was intended to ap of exactly ten storey	ves of the planning proposal refer to development over ten storeys, of provisions provides for the clause to apply to development of at more than 10 storeys. However other discussion in the planning evelopment of 10 or more storeys. Council officers have confirmed that oply to developments of 10 or more storeys i.e. including development s. A height limit of 29.5 metres or 30 metres would be appropriate to evelopments in the provisions of the clause. This matter should bu
Justification - s55 (2)(c)		
a) Has Council's strategy be	en agreed to by the I	Director General? <b>No</b>
b) S.117 directions identified	d by RPA :	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
* May need the Director Ge	neral's agreement	<ul> <li>2.3 Heritage Conservation</li> <li>3.1 Residential Zones</li> <li>3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport</li> <li>4.3 Flood Prone Land</li> <li>4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection</li> <li>6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements</li> <li>7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036</li> </ul>
Is the Director General's	agreement required?	No
c) Consistent with Standard	Instrument (LEPs) O	rder 2006 : <b>Yes</b>
d) Which SEPPs have the F	RPA identified?	SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SREP (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005 SREP No. 20 - Hawkesbury–Nepean River (No. 2 - 1997)
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	The planning propo Environmental Plar	osal is not inconsistent with any S. 117 Directions or State nning Policies
Have inconsistencies with it	ems a), b) and d) bei	ng adequately justified? N/A
If No, explain :		
Mapping Provided - s55	5(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided? No		
Comment :	height of 32.5 metro	red for this planning proposal. It will apply to all development with a es or more. The maximum permissible height of buildings is shown ildings Map, and no map amendments are required.
Community consultatio	on - s55(2)(e)	
- Has community consultatior		s
Comment :		ace the proposal on public exhibition for a period of 28 days.
Additional Director Ger		
Are there any additional Dire	ector General's requir	rements? NO
If Yes, reasons :		

## Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

There is a need to address inconsistencies in the planning proposal as to whether it is intended to apply to development of greater than ten storeys of of 10 or more storeys.

Further, clarification is required over the number of local environmental plans that currently contain a design excellence clause.

## Proposal Assessment

If No, comment :

#### Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation to Principal Hornsby Local Environmental Plan 2013 was notified on 27 September 2013 and came into effect on 11 October 2013.

## **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning The issue of ensuring the highest standards of architecture and urban design was raised proposal : in the preparation and public exhibition of the Hornsby West Side Planning Proposal, which increases height limits and will change the skyline of Hornsby Central Business District. Council resolved that similar provisions should also apply to tall buildings in other areas, such as the Epping Urban Activation Precinct. A planning proposal is required to achieve the objective to require the consent authority to consider excellence of design in granting development consent for tall buildings. Consistency with The proposal to promote high design standards is consistent with the Draft Metropolitan strategic planning Strategy for Sydney to 2031, and with Hornsby Shire Council's Community Strategic Plan. framework : Environmental social The proposed introduction of a design excellence clause will not have any environmental economic impacts : impacts. The planning proposal states that high quality design can promote economic investment, elevating the status of Hornsby and other major centres and potentially increasing sales and profitability. Social benefits may include improvements to aesthetics, visual appeal and quality of life for future occupants and visitors. Assessment Process Proposal type :: Consistent **Community Consultation** 28 Days Period : Timeframe to make DDG 6 months Delegation : LEP : **Public Authority** Consultation - 56(2) (d):

Is Public Hearing by the F	PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter p				
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(b)	: No			
f Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional stu	dies, if required. :			
f Other, provide reasons	:			
Identify any internal consi	ultations, if required	1:1		
No internal consultation	required			
Is the provision and fundi	ng of state infrastru	cture relevant to th	nis plan? No	
If Yes, reasons :				
uments			6 4 4 1 H 1 H 1 H 1 H 1	
Document File Name			DocumentType Name	Is Public
Hornsby cover letter.pdf	F		Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
				N
			Proposal	Yes
Examples - Local Enviro	onmental Plans cor	ntaining a	Proposal Study	Yes
Examples - Local Enviro	onmental Plans cor	ntaining a		
Examples - Local Enviro design excellence claus	onmental Plans cor e.pdf	ntaining a		
Examples - Local Enviro design excellence claus nning Team Recomn	enmental Plans cor e.pdf nendation		Study	
Examples - Local Enviro design excellence claus nning Team Recomn	enmental Plans cor e.pdf nendation			
Examples - Local Enviro design excellence claus nning Team Recomn Preparation of the plannir	nmental Plans cor e.pdf nendation ng proposal support 1.1 Business and	ted at this stage : I d Industrial Zones	Study Recommended with Conditions	
Examples - Local Enviro design excellence claus nning Team Recomn Preparation of the plannir	nmental Plans cor e.pdf nendation ng proposal support 1.1 Business and 2.3 Heritage Con	ted at this stage : I d Industrial Zones iservation	Study Recommended with Conditions	
Examples - Local Enviro design excellence claus nning Team Recomn Preparation of the plannir	nmental Plans cor e.pdf nendation ng proposal support 1.1 Business and 2.3 Heritage Con 3.1 Residential Z	ted at this stage : I d Industrial Zones iservation	Study Recommended with Conditions	
Examples - Local Enviro design excellence claus nning Team Recomn Preparation of the plannir	nmental Plans cor e.pdf nendation ng proposal support 1.1 Business and 2.3 Heritage Con 3.1 Residential Z	ted at this stage : I d Industrial Zones iservation Zones and Use and Tran	Study Recommended with Conditions	
Examples - Local Enviro design excellence claus nning Team Recomn Preparation of the plannir	nental Plans cor e.pdf nendation ng proposal support 1.1 Business and 2.3 Heritage Con 3.1 Residential Z 3.4 Integrating L 4.3 Flood Prone 4.4 Planning for	ted at this stage : I d Industrial Zones iservation Zones and Use and Tran Land Bushfire Protectio	Study Recommended with Conditions s asport	
Examples - Local Enviro design excellence claus nning Team Recomn Preparation of the plannir	nmental Plans cor e.pdf nendation ng proposal support 1.1 Business and 2.3 Heritage Con 3.1 Residential Z 3.4 Integrating L 4.3 Flood Prone 4.4 Planning for 6.1 Approval and	ted at this stage : I d Industrial Zones iservation Zones and Use and Tran Land Bushfire Protection	Study Recommended with Conditions s asport on ements	
Examples - Local Enviro design excellence claus nning Team Recomn Preparation of the plannir S.117 directions:	nental Plans cor e.pdf nendation ng proposal support 1.1 Business and 2.3 Heritage Con 3.1 Residential Z 3.4 Integrating L 4.3 Flood Prone 4.4 Planning for 6.1 Approval and 7.1 Implementati	ted at this stage : I d Industrial Zones iservation Zones and Use and Tran Land Bushfire Protection	Study Recommended with Conditions s asport on ements olitan Plan for Sydney 2036	
Examples - Local Enviro design excellence claus nning Team Recomn Preparation of the plannir S.117 directions:	nental Plans cor e.pdf nendation ng proposal support 1.1 Business and 2.3 Heritage Con 3.1 Residential Z 3.4 Integrating L 4.3 Flood Prone 4.4 Planning for 6.1 Approval and 7.1 Implementati DELEGATION OI	ted at this stage : I d Industrial Zones iservation Zones and Use and Tran Land Bushfire Protection d Referral Require ion of the Metropol F GATEWAY DET	Study Recommended with Conditions s asport on ements olitan Plan for Sydney 2036	Yes
Examples - Local Enviro design excellence claus nning Team Recomn Preparation of the plannir S.117 directions:	nental Plans cor e.pdf nendation ng proposal support 1.1 Business and 2.3 Heritage Con 3.1 Residential Z 3.4 Integrating L 4.3 Flood Prone 4.4 Planning for 6.1 Approval and 7.1 Implementati DELEGATION Of While the propos acknowledged th	ted at this stage : I d Industrial Zones iservation Zones and Use and Tran Land Bushfire Protection d Referral Require ion of the Metropo F GATEWAY DET sal is consistent whe proposal is a p	Study Recommended with Conditions s asport on ements olitan Plan for Sydney 2036 ERMINATION	Yes
Examples - Local Enviro design excellence claus nning Team Recomn Preparation of the plannir S.117 directions:	nental Plans cor e.pdf nendation ng proposal support 1.1 Business and 2.3 Heritage Con 3.1 Residential Z 3.4 Integrating L 4.3 Flood Prone 4.4 Planning for 6.1 Approval and 7.1 Implementati DELEGATION Of While the propos acknowledged th provision to app	ted at this stage : I d Industrial Zones aservation Zones and Use and Tran Land Bushfire Protection d Referral Require ion of the Metropo F GATEWAY DET sal is consistent w he proposal is a p oly to a wider area	Study Recommended with Conditions s hsport on ements olitan Plan for Sydney 2036 ERMINATION with the position of Hornsby Shire Cou policy decision, as it will allow a relative i.e. Horsnby Shire Council, than current	Yes ncil, it is ely onerous ntly exists.
Examples - Local Enviro design excellence claus nning Team Recomn Preparation of the plannir S.117 directions:	nental Plans cor e.pdf nendation ng proposal support 1.1 Business and 2.3 Heritage Con 3.1 Residential Z 3.4 Integrating L 4.3 Flood Prone 4.4 Planning for 6.1 Approval and 7.1 Implementati DELEGATION Of While the propos acknowledged th provision to app In these circums Delivery (Parram	ted at this stage : I d Industrial Zones aservation Zones and Use and Tran Land Bushfire Protection d Referral Require ion of the Metropo F GATEWAY DET sal is consistent w he proposal is a p oly to a wider area stances it is consistent the	Study Recommended with Conditions Recommended with Conditions s as asport on ements olitan Plan for Sydney 2036 ERMINATION with the position of Hornsby Shire Cou policy decision, as it will allow a relative i.e. Horsnby Shire Council, than current dered that the delegation to the Director Gateway determination in relation to the	Yes
Examples - Local Enviro design excellence claus nning Team Recomn Preparation of the plannir S.117 directions:	nental Plans cor e.pdf nendation ng proposal support 1.1 Business and 2.3 Heritage Con 3.1 Residential Z 3.4 Integrating L 4.3 Flood Prone 4.4 Planning for 6.1 Approval and 7.1 Implementati DELEGATION OI While the propos acknowledged th provision to app In these circums Delivery (Parram proposal does n	ted at this stage : I d Industrial Zones aservation Zones and Use and Tran Land Bushfire Protection d Referral Require ion of the Metropo F GATEWAY DET sal is consistent whe proposal is a p oly to a wider area stances it is consi natta) to issue the ot apply and it is	Study Recommended with Conditions Recommended with Conditions s as asport on ements olitan Plan for Sydney 2036 ERMINATION with the position of Hornsby Shire Cou policy decision, as it will allow a relative i.e. Horsnby Shire Council, than current idered that the delegation to the Director	Yes
Examples - Local Enviro design excellence claus nning Team Recomn Preparation of the plannir S.117 directions:	nental Plans cor e.pdf nendation 1.1 Business and 2.3 Heritage Con 3.1 Residential Z 3.4 Integrating L 4.3 Flood Prone 4.4 Planning for 6.1 Approval and 7.1 Implementati DELEGATION OI While the propos acknowledged th provision to app In these circums Delivery (Parram proposal does n be exercised by	ted at this stage : I d Industrial Zones aservation Zones and Use and Tran Land Bushfire Protection d Referral Require ion of the Metropo F GATEWAY DET sal is consistent whe proposal is a p oly to a wider area stances it is consi natta) to issue the ot apply and it is	Study Recommended with Conditions Recommended with Conditions s as asport on ements blitan Plan for Sydney 2036 ERMINATION with the position of Hornsby Shire Cou bolicy decision, as it will allow a relative i.e. Horsnby Shire Council, than current idered that the delegation to the Director Gateway determination in relation to to recommended that the Gateway determ al Manager, Metropolitan Delivery.	Yes
Hornsby planning propo Examples - Local Enviro design excellence claus nning Team Recomn Preparation of the plannir S.117 directions: Additional Information :	nental Plans cor e.pdf nendation ng proposal support 1.1 Business and 2.3 Heritage Con 3.1 Residential Z 3.4 Integrating L 4.3 Flood Prone 4.4 Planning for 6.1 Approval and 7.1 Implementati DELEGATION OI While the proposal acknowledged th provision to app In these circums Delivery (Parram proposal does n be exercised by DELEGATION OI Hornsby Shire C	ted at this stage : I d Industrial Zones iservation Zones and Use and Tran Land Bushfire Protection d Referral Require ion of the Metropo F GATEWAY DET sal is consistent whe proposal is a p by to a wider area stances it is consistent whe proposal is a p by to a wider area stances it is consistent the Acting Generation F PLAN-MAKING council has reques	Study Recommended with Conditions Recommended with Conditions s as asport on ements blitan Plan for Sydney 2036 ERMINATION with the position of Hornsby Shire Cou bolicy decision, as it will allow a relative i.e. Horsnby Shire Council, than current idered that the delegation to the Director Gateway determination in relation to to recommended that the Gateway determ al Manager, Metropolitan Delivery.	Yes

# Hornsby LEP 2013 - Design excellence for tall buildings

	design excellence and imposing requirements that may stifle development. For this reason it is considered that the department should take an active role in the finalisation of the planning proposal and it is recommended that the plan making function not be delegated to Hornsby Council. GATEWAY DETERMINATION AND CONDITIONS
	It is recommended that the planning proposal should proceed subject to the following conditions:
	<ol> <li>Prior to public exhibition, Council is required to amend the planning proposal:         <ol> <li>1.1 To clarify whether the proposal is to apply to developments of exactly 10 storeys, or only to developments of greater than 10 storeys, and to revise the height in metres to which the proposal applies accordingly, if necessary (a revised Gateway determination is to be sought, if required).</li> <li>1.2 Clarify and justify the statement under Section A - Need for the planning proposal, that almost half of Sydney councils have a design excellence clause in their local environmental plans.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>
	2. Public consultation for a period of 28 days.
	3. No consultation with public authorities is required.
	4. The due date for finalisation of the planning proposal is 6 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
Supporting Reasons :	The proposal has arisen from consideration of the Hornsby West Planning Proposal, which increases the maximum permissible building heights in that area. A number of local environmental plans in the Sydney metropolitan area include the same or similar provisions.
Signature:	Aller
Printed Name:	TDORAN Date: 23/9/14

